



Efficient Training of Spiking Neural Networks

with Multi-Parallel Implicit Stream Architecture

- 1. The Dilemma of Training SNNs
- 2. Deep Equilibrium Model
- 3. Methods and Model
- 4. Results and Discussion

1 The Dilemma of Training SNNs

1 Non-differentiability



Due to the spiking process of neurons being a step function, the derivative at the spike is infinite, which prevents the direct use of backpropagation for training SNNs. The SG method uses a smooth function, similar to the step function, to replace the step function for differential calculations during the backward process. However, this approach introduces surrogate errors, which accumulate over time steps.

1 The Dilemma of Training SNNs

② Memory Overhead



As the number of simulation time steps increases during the training of SNNs, the memory consumption also increases. Specifically, each simulation time step requires storing all the activation values of the current model, leading to a linear increase in memory consumption as the number of simulation time steps rises. However, it is essential explore different to simulation time step lengths.

2 Deep Equilibrium Model

(1) Only One Layer of Activation Values Needs to Be Stored



Forward Process:

Since the output of the weight-tied network ultimately converges to a fixed point, the forward process of the network can be transformed into the process of solving a fixed point equation. Define a single-layer network f_{θ} with the network output h^* . By solving the equation $f_{\theta}(h^*; x) = h^*$, we can obtain the output of f_{θ} stacked with infinite layers.

Backward Process:

Since the network's forward process is solved through root-finding methods for the network output, there is no explicit path in the forward process. We utilize the implicit function theorem on the fixed point equation $f_{\theta}(h^*; x) = h^*$ to replace the backpropagation calculation of the derivative of the network output h^* with respect to any parameter (·), denoted as $\frac{\partial h^*(\cdot)}{\partial (\cdot)}$.

2 Deep Equilibrium Model

(2) Integrating Deep Equilibrium Theory with SNNs



A Single Time Step Simulation Is Equivalent to an Arbitrarily Long Simulation Time.

By treating SNNs as a weight-tied block and applying the equilibrium model theory, we can separate the forward and backward processes of SNNs.

This allows for error propagation without explicit backpropagation over time, facilitating SNN training with constant memory overhead.

However, **both SNNs and the equilibrium model encounter time delay issues**, including simulation time and fixed point solving time, which we address through a shallower parallel structure.

3 Methods and Model

1 MPIS-SNNs



The main idea of MPIS is to reduce the simulation time of a single time step in SNNs by **decomposing their** vertical complexity. Additionally, it accelerates model convergence by merging feature maps from various implicit streams (IS), thus reducing the number of iterations required for fixed point solving and shortening the forward process time. Although shallower IS can lower the time cost of the forward process, a clear issue arises from the reduced model complexity. To address this, we parallelly increase model parameters and inject input only into the top layer of the IS to ensure the model's capacity.

3 Methods and Model

② Double-Bounded Rectified Linear Unit (DBReLU)



We observe that when SNNs have a multi-layer structure, even when using a single time step equivalent to T time steps for gradient computation, the presence of the step function (neuron spikes) within SNNs prevents direct calculation of derivatives. Inspired by the conversion of ANNs to SNNs and the implicit differentiation in equilibrium SNNs, we derive the Double-Bounded Rectified Linear Unit (DBReLU) as a firing rate calculation function for SNNs when reaching equilibrium states. (2)

Double-Bounded Rectified Linear Unit (DBReLU)



Firing Rate Curves of Neurons with Different Thresholds

DBReLU:

$$r_{i}^{l} = Min\left(Max\left(0, \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M^{l-1}} W_{ij}^{l} r_{j}^{l-1}\right)}{V_{th}}\right), 1\right)$$

In ANNs-SNNs, neurons simulate the ReLU function, with performance positively correlated to the number of simulation time However, hardware limitations steps. prevent indefinite increases in simulation steps. In MPIS-SNNs, the final output represents the model's fixed point, equivalent to the firing rate after infinite time steps. At this stage, Integrate-and-Fire (IF) neurons serve as unbiased estimators of the linear rectifier over time, but since firing rates cannot exceed 1, 1 is set as the upper bound.

① Comparison with the BPTT Training Method

	Method	Size	Arc	chitecture	т	Асс	Time	Memory
	BPTT	133K	16C3	-32C3-48C3-	30	89.60%	31s	2.1G
Fashion-			FC10		100	89.70%	1min32s	4.8G
MNIST	MPIS :	4001/	16C3-32C3-48C3- FC10		30	93.14%	30 s	1.2G
		133K			100	93.23%	1min27s	1.2G
	BPTT	213K	32C3-32C3-64C3- FC10		30	98.21%	1min24s	12.8G
N-MNIST					100	-	-	Out of memory
	MDIS	213K	32C3-32C3-64C3-		30	99.31%	1min35s	3.3G
			FC10		100	99.27%	5min5s	3.3G
		Firing Rate			MPIS-SNNs have a constant memory cost			
	Layer1 Layer2		yer2	Layer3	independent of simulation duration, while BPTT's memory cost increases with simulation length. MPIS-SNNs also have a lower firing rate, leading to reduced energy consumption.			
BPTT	5.06e-2	5.06e-2 7.24e		8.98e-2				
MPIS	8.0e-4 7.0)e-4	7.3814e-5				

② Comparison with Conventional Equilibrium SNNs

	Method	Size	т	Асс	Time	
		11 014	30	90.37%	12min10s	
	IDE-INELS	11.8171	100	90.57%	22min34s	
CIFAR-10	MPIS-SNNs	11.8M	30	92.79%	2min34s	
		28.5M	30	93.27%	3min48s	
		14 014	30	70.26%	12min33s	
	IDE-INELS	14.8171	100	71.12%	21min50s	
CIFAK-100		14.8M	30	73.19%	5min33s	
	101412-210182	30.0M	30	74.40%	8min38s	

In terms of accuracy, MPIS-SNNs achieve higher accuracy with fewer simulation time steps. Regarding speed, MPIS-SNNs, despite having more parameters, are still faster than IDE-Net.

4 Results and Discussion

Page 11

③ Convergence Speed of MPIS-SNNs



Time Steps

Time Steps

Time Steps

SingleRes represents the convergence curve of conventional equilibrium SNNs, while MulRes*n* denotes the convergence curve of the n-th implicit stream branch of MPIS-SNNs. The convergence rate and final stability of each branch in MPIS-SNNs are superior to those of conventional equilibrium SNNs.

4 Results and Discussion

④ Comparing with the Latest Efficient Training Methods for SNNs

		Method	Т	Accuracy					
	N-MNIST	IDE-Net(2021)[14]	30	99.47%		IDE-Net(2021)[14]	100	73.43%	
		HS-IF(2023)[43]	15	99.44%	CIFAR- 100	Hybrid SL(2021)[44]	120	64.98%	
F		MPIS	30	99.51%		Temporal pruning (2022)[40]	1	70.15%	
	Fashion-	IDE-Net(2021)[14]	5	90.25%		OTTT(2022)[28]	6	71.11%	
	MNIST	LTC-SNNs(2023)[27]	784	93.58%		AC2AS (2023)[8]	5	73.61%	
		MPIS	1	93.83%		MPIS	5	74.93%	
C									
		Hybrid SL(2021)[44]	100	91.29%					
	CIFAR-10	Temporal pruning (2022) [40]	1	93.05%	MPIS-SNNs are highly competitive in various tasks, particularly, MPIS-SNNs have achieved optimal performance on the N-MNIST, Eashion-MNIST and CIEAR-100 datasets				
		OTTT(2022)[28]	6	93.73%					
		AC2AS (2023)[8]	5	92.88%	rushion whiler, and on Arc 100 datasets				
		MPIS	10	93.27%					



