



MMBench: Is Your Multi-Modal Model An All-Around Player?

Yuan Liu*, Haodong Duan*‡, Yuanhan Zhang*, Bo Li*, Songyang Zhang*, Wangbo Zhao, Yike Yuan, Jiaqi Wang, Conghui He, Ziwei Liu†, Kai Chen†, Dahua Lin†

* Equal Contribution [‡] Project Lead [†] Corresponding Author

Presenter: Haodong Duan Oct, 2024





The study starts in May 2023. Back then, the major evaluation strategies are:



An example from OCRVQA:



Q: What is the genre of this book? GT: Arts & Photography Pred (GPT-4v): The book titled "India: In Word & Image" is likely a photography or travel book that The genre could be classified as travel photography, cultural exploration, or a photographic essay.

- **Subjective Evaluation** has following drawbacks:
- Introduce Human Biases
- 2. Consume lots of **Resources**
- 3. Hard to **Reproduce**
- Objective Evaluation (VQA) :
- Obtain metrics w. rule-based matching, suffer from **false-negative** samples
- 2 Lack a **holistic** benchmark













How many children are in the bed?







The project aims at designing a new multi-modal benchmark featuring the following characteristics:

- 1. The benchmark needs to deliver **objective & quantitative** evaluation results, that is easily reproducible.
- 2. The benchmark needs to be **comprehensive enough** to cover as much multi-modal capabilities as possible.
- 3. The benchmark should conduct **rigorous yet reasonable** evaluation and mitigate the negative impact of **false-negative samples**







110

We first design a taxonomy of multi-modal capabilities:



- The taxonomy features 3 capability levels and 20 finegrained capabilities.
- The two most fundamental L-1 capabilities are perception & reasoning.





MMBench adopts the multi-choice format:

Image Style



Q: Which category does this image belong to? A. Oil Paiting B. Sketch C. Digital art D. Photo GT: A





Image scene



Q: Which of the following captions best describes this image?

A. A group of people playing soccer in a field B. A woman walking her dog on a beach C. A man riding a bicycle on a

mountain trail D. A child playing with a ball in a park GT: A

Q: What type of environment is

depicted in the picture?

A. Home

C. Street

D. forest

GT: A

B. shopping mall



soccer in a field B. A woman walking her dog on



a beach C. A man riding a bicycle on a mountain trail D. A child playing with a ball in

Q: Which of the following

captions best describes this

A. A group of people playing

Q: Which category does this

image belong to?

A. Oil Paiting

C. Digital art

B. Sketch

D. Photo

GT: B

image?

a park

convey?

A. Sad

B. Anxious

С. Нарру

D. Angry

GT: A

Q: What type of environment is depicted in the picture? A. Home B. shopping mall C. Street D. forest GT: C

Q: Which mood does this image

Image Mood



Q: Which mood does this image convey? A. Cozy B. Anxious С. Нарру D. Angry GT: C

Image Quality



Q: Which image is more brightful? A. The first image B. The second image GT: A



Q: which image is more colorful A. The first image B. The second image GT: B



Coarse Perception Fine-grained Perception (Instance) 👇

Attribute Recognition

Celebrity Recognition





Q: Who is this person

A. David Beckham

B. Prince Harry



Q: what is the color of this object? A. Purple B. Pink C. Gray D. Orange GT: D



Q: Who is this person A. Benedict Cumberbatch B. Idris Elba C. Ed Sheeran D. Harry Styles GT: A

Object Localization



Sale

OCR

Q: How many apples are there in the image? And how many GT: A



VORK HARD

Q: Which corner is the juice? A. Up B. Down C. Left



- Q: What does this picture want to express?
- A. We are expected to care for green plants.
- B. We are expected to care for the earth.
- C. We are expected to stay positive.
- D. We are expected to work hard. GT: D



bananas are there? A. 4 apples and 2 bananas B. 3 apples and 3 banana C. 2 apples and 4 bananas D. 4 apples and 1 bananas

Q: What does this outdoor

B. Something is on sale.

C. No photography allowed

D. Take care of your speed.

A. Smoking is prohibited here.

billboard mean?

GT: B







Quality Control is Crucial



Translation 👉 :

We translate all questions to Chinese with LLM, and then perform manual screening and correction.

Semi-Automated Screening:

- . Questions that can be correctly answered by LLMs are removed.
- 2. If all SOTA VLMs failed to solve a question (w. Circular), the question will be tagged and manually checked.







D C X

CircularEval is adopted to provide rigorous evaluation results



VLMs may have different preferences over choices, which introduces significant biases



The original VL problem: Q: How many apples are there in the image? A. 4; B. 3; C. 2; D. 1 GT: A	Circular Evaluation
4 Passes in Circular Evaluation (choices with circular shift):	
1 Q: How many apples are there in the image? Choices: A. 4; B. 3; C. :	2; D. 1. VLM prediction: A. GT: A 🖌
2. Q: How many apples are there in the image? Choices: A. 3; B. 2; C. 1	-; D. 4. VLM prediction: D. GT: D 🗸
3. Q: How many apples are there in the image? Choices: A. 2; B. 1; C.	4; D. 3. VLM prediction 🗗 🥵 GT: C 🗙

4. Q: How many apples are there in the image? Choices: A. 1; B. 4; C. 3; D. 2. VLM prediction. B: GT: B 🖌 VLM failed at pass 3. Thus wrong.

Under CircularEval, a VLM correctly solve a MCQ only if it succeeds in all circular passes





CircularEval vs. VanillaEval

Table 2: CircularEval *vs*. VanillaEval. We report the CircularEval Top-1 accuracy and accuracy drop (compared to VanillaEval) of all VLMs on MMBench-dev.

VLM	Circular	Acc Change	VLM	Circular	Acc Change	VLM	Circular	Acc Change
MiniGPT4-7B	32.7%	-24.1%	MiniGPT4-13B	37.5%	-23.2%	Yi-VL-6B	65.6%	-9.8%
InstructBLIP-7B	37.4%	-24.0%	InstructBLIP-13B	40.9%	-23.0%	Yi-VL-34B	68.2%	-9.5%
LLaVA-v1.5-7B	62.5%	-11.2%	LLaVA-v1.5-13B	67.2%	-8.6%	MiniCPM-V	64.8%	-10.6%
IDEFICS-9B-Instruct	37.2%	-22.6%	LLaVA-InternLM2-20B	72.8%	-7.0%	Qwen-VL-Plus	62.9%	-16.6%
VisualGLM-6B	36.1%	-27.0%	CogVLM-Chat-17B	62.4%	-15.6%	Qwen-VL-Max	76.4%	-8.7%
Qwen-VL-Chat	59.5%	-17.4%	mPLUG-Owl2	63.5%	-8.7%	Gemini-Pro-V	70.9%	-11.7%
OpenFlamingo v2	2.6%	-34.1%	InternLM-XComposer2	79.1%	-4.7%	GPT-4v	74.3%	-10.8%





LLM choice-extractor to reduce false-negative samples

Table 1: Statistics of IF capabilities of VLMs. We report the heuristic matching success rate of VLMs, and the accuracy before and after LLM-based choice extraction. In 'X+Y', X denotes the matching-based accuracy, Y indicates the gain of using LLM as the choice extractor.

Model Name	Match Rate	DEV Acc	Model Name	Match Rate	DEV Acc
MiniGPT4-7B	85.7	47.9 +8.8	MiniGPT4-13B	84.8	52.1 +8.7
InstructBLIP-7B	93.6	57.1 +4.3	InstuctBLIP-13B	93.7	58.4 +5.6
IDEFICS-9B-Instruct	96.6	58.4 +1.5	Qwen-VL-Chat	93.8	73.3 +3.6
MiniCPM-V	95.2	70.9 +4.5	VisualGLM-6B	64.8	39.9 +23.2
GPT-4v	91.8	81.5 +3.6	GeminiProVision	97.5	81.8 +0.8
Qwen-VL-Plus	77.4	64.5 +15.0	Qwen-VL-Max	96.0	82.0 +3.2

General VLMs (including GPT-4v, Gemini, etc.) do not perform IF optimization for MCQ problems.

Using LLM to extract choice labels can help to reveal the real performance of those VLMs.



We quantitatively measured the alignment rates between different LLMs and Human on the choice extraction task.



Main Results (Mar. 2024)

Model	Overall	СР	FP-S	FP-C	AR	LR	RR		
Large Language Models									
GPT-4-Turbo (0125) [37]	2.9%	0.6%	1.2%	4.1%	3.7%	4.9%	7.4%		
OpenSource VLMs									
OpenFlamingo v2 [4]	2.3%	1.1%	3.5%	1.5%	5.3%	0.0%	2.7%		
MiniGPT4-7B [56]	30.5%	37.0%	31.8%	17.2%	49.8%	9.2%	25.6%		
IDEFICS-9B-Instruct [26]	35.2%	48.3%	31.3%	29.6%	47.8%	11.4%	25.2%		
VisualGLM-6B [14]	35.4%	40.2%	38.5%	26.2%	47.8%	19.6%	29.5%		
InstructBLIP-7B [12]	38.3%	46.7%	39.0%	31.8%	55.5%	8.7%	31.0%		
MiniGPT4-13B [56]	38.8%	44.6%	42.9%	23.2%	64.9%	8.2%	32.9%		
InstructBLIP-13B [12]	39.8%	47.2%	42.9%	21.0%	60.4%	12.5%	38.8%		
Qwen-VL-Chat* [6]	60.9%	68.5%	67.7%	50.2%	78.0%	37.0%	45.7%		
MiniCPM-V [39]	61.4%	65.6%	69.4%	51.3%	70.6%	35.3%	59.7%		
LLaVA-v1.5-7B [32]	63.4%	70.0%	68.0%	57.7%	77.6%	33.2%	56.2%		
mPLUG-Owl2 [50]	63.5%	68.1%	69.1%	55.8%	78.4%	37.0%	57.0%		
CogVLM-Chat-17B [47]	63.6%	72.8%	66.6%	55.4%	71.4%	33.7%	62.0%		
Yi-VL-6B* [2]	65.5%	72.8%	72.9%	56.2%	75.5%	41.3%	55.4%		
LLaVA-v1.5-13B [32]	66.9%	73.1%	72.4%	60.3%	75.5%	35.9%	65.5%		
Yi-VL-34B* [2]	68.4%	72.0%	78.0%	54.7%	81.2%	38.6%	68.2%		
LLaVA-InternLM2-20B [11]	72.3%	78.3%	76.6%	68.2%	78.4%	46.2%	69.4%		
InternLM-XComposer2* [13]	78.1%	80.4%	83.5%	73.0%	83.7%	63.6%	74.4%		
		Proprietar	y VLMs						
Qwen-VL-Plus [6]	64.6%	66.5%	79.1%	50.2%	73.9%	42.9%	57.8%		
Gemini-Pro-V [44]	70.2%	70.0%	78.9%	65.9%	82.9%	46.2%	65.9%		
GPT-4v [37]	74.3%	77.6%	73.8%	71.5%	85.3%	63.6%	68.6%		
Qwen-VL-Max [6]	75.4%	74.8%	87.2%	67.0%	85.3%	54.9%	70.5%		

上海人工智能实验室 Shanghai Artificial Intelligence Laboratory

Evaluation Results on MMBench-Test

Performance Comparison: EN vs. CN 👇







Our evaluation service has processed 20,000+ submissions (As of Sep. 2024)

Model	Release Date	LLM Backbone	Overall	СР	FP-S	FP-C	AR	LR	RR
OpenSource VLMs									
InternLM-XComposer2	2024.01	InternLM2-7B	78.1	80.4	73	83.5	83.7	63.6	74.4
Cambrian-34B	2024.06	Yi-34B	78.3	78.1	77.2	84.9	81.2	64.7	76
MiniCPM-V-2.6	2024.08	Qwen2-7B	79.0	79.6	71.2	87.0	83.7	65.8	77.5
VILA1.5-40B	2024.05	Yi-34B	79.9	78.7	76.8	88.6	84.5	62.0	79.5
InternLM-XComposer2.5	2024.07	InternLM2-7B	80.1	79.4	76.8	86.5	84.9	69.6	77.1
Ovis1.6-Gemma2-9B	2024.09	Gemma2-9B	81.5	79.8	79.4	85.6	85.7	72.8	82.6
RBDash-v1.2-72B	2024.08	Qwen2-72B	81.7	81.9	79.8	89.6	84.1	70.7	75.6
Qwen2-VL-7B	2024.08	Qwen2-7B	81.8	81.3	79.4	89.3	85.3	70.1	77.5
LLaVA-OneVision-72B	2024.08	Qwen2-72B	85.0	83.5	85.0	89.8	89.8	71.7	84.9
InternVL2-76B	2024.07	Llama3-70B	85.5	82.2	83.9	91.4	91.0	78.8	83.3
		Proprietary	y VLMs						
Yi-Vision	2024.07	/	76.6	76.3	72.7	82.6	84.9	64.1	72.1
GPT-40-mini-0718	2024.07	/	77.1	76.3	71.9	80.7	85.7	70.7	74.4
Gemini-1.5-Flash	2024.05	/	77.1	78.9	73.8	86.5	84.1	59.2	67.4
Claude-3.5-Sonnet	2024.06	/	77.7	78.1	76.0	81.9	81.6	74.5	70.2
GLM-4v	2024.05	/	79.2	78.7	74.9	83.1	89.0	66.3	78.3
GPT-4v-0409	2024.04	/	80.0	79.4	74.2	86.5	86.1	74.5	74.4
CongRong	2024.06	/	80.9	80.9	77.9	87.2	87.8	64.1	78.7
GPT-40-0513	2024.05	/	83.1	81.7	87.3	82.4	89.8	77.2	80.6
Step-1.5V	2024.08	/	83.2	81.1	82.0	90.0	88.2	71.2	81.4
Qwen-VL-Max-0809	2024.08	/	86.0	81.5	89.5	92.3	89.4	81.5	81.0

Table 10: CircularEval results on MMBench-test set (L-2 abilities) in September 2024.

The leaderboard provides evaluation results of \sim 200 different VLMs.







The most challenging fine-grained capabilities



Q. Which image is the second brightest? A. upper-left B. upper-right C. lower-left D. lower-right Answer: C Amax=61.3%



purchased in a restaurant in one day. What is the least popular Amax=61.5%

(a). Image Quality

Q. What is the positional relationship between the two shapes in the picture?

A. The two shapes are positioned apart or separated from each other.

B. The two shapes are tangentially positioned or externally tangent to each other.

C. The two shapes intersect with each other.

D. One shape is contained within the other or there is an inner shape enclosed by an outer shape.

Answer: C

Amax=68.0%

(c). Spatial Relationship

(b). Structralized Image-Text Understanding



Q. From the perspective of the driver of the blue truck, in what position is the person riding a bike relative to the blue truck? A. Left front

Amax=64.0%

- B. Right front C. Right rear
- D. Left rear

Answer: A

(d). Physical Relation Reasoning





Thanks for your attention!

Our Poster is at 182 this afternoon Welcome to chat!

Also, if you want to learn about the latest work of the team:

