



# **GazeXplain:** Learning to Predict Natural Language Explanations of Visual Scanpaths

**European Conference on Computer Vision ECCV 2024** 



Xianyu Chen



Ming Jiang



Catherine Qi Zhao

## Motivation: Interpretable Visual Scanpaths

**Q:** Does the person on the sidewalk appear to be walking?

A: Yes



## Scanpath prediction models are black boxes



### Free-viewing

### Visual Search

SaltiNet [M. Assens et al., ICCV Workshops, 2017] PathGAN [M. Assens et al., ECCV Workshops, 2018] IOR-ROI [W. Sun et al., TPAMI, 2019] DeepGaze III [M. Kummerer et al., JoV, 2022] IRL [Z. Yang et al., CVPR 2020]ChenLSTM [X. Chen et al., CVPR 2021]FFMs [Z. Yang et al., ECCV 2022]Gazeformer [S. Mondal et al., CVPR 2023]

VQA

ChenLSTM [X. Chen et al., CVPR 2021] ISP [X. Chen et al., CVPR 2024]



Is the trash can to the left of a chair?

### GazeXplain – Dataset

### Scanpath

A rich collection of natural-language explanations annotated on **7,004** images and **86,407** fixations across diverse visual tasks. The explanations are concise, with lengths falling within **10.66**  $\pm$  **3.54** words each.



### Explanation

1. There is a sign that reads "MARCH" in large white letters on a black background.

2. There is a small window on a building.

3. There is a potted plant on the sidewalk.

Dataset	Task	Images	Scanpaths	Length of Scanpath	Words per Fixation	Words per Scanpath
AiR-D	VQA	987	$13,\!903$	$10.17 \pm 2.23$	$10.79 \pm 3.46$	$109.81\pm31.27$
OSIE	Free Viewing	700	10,500	$9.36 \pm 1.88$	$11.43 \pm 3.99$	$107.07\pm31.26$
COCO-Search18 TP	Object Search	$3,\!101$	$30,\!998$	$3.48 \pm 1.82$	$9.84 \pm 3.14$	$34.28 \pm 20.55$
COCO-Search18 TA	Object Search	$3,\!101$	$31,\!006$	$5.86 \pm 4.07$	$10.61 \pm 3.45$	$62.21 \pm 45.85$



Baseline: Gazeformer [S. Mondal et al., CVPR 2023]

- Visual Encoder: ResNet-50 (Frozen) and Transformer
- Language Encoder: RoBERTa
- Attention Decoder: Transformer with Grid Classification



**1.** Attention-Language Decoder (EXP): Explanation generation with a language decoder (BLIP) to provide comprehensive semantic explanations for fixated regions.



2. Semantic Alignment (ALN): Compute and optimize the cosine similarity to ensure the consistency between predicted fixations, explanations and visual features.



**3.** Cross-Dataset Co-Training (CT): Enable models to learn from multiple datasets simultaneously with scaling the image and scanpath into same resolution and structure the task-specific instructions into the standard VQA format.

### **Scanpath Prediction Results**

Mathad		Scan	path		Saliency					
Method	SM ↑	MM ↑	<b>SED</b> $\downarrow$	SS ↑	CC ↑	NSS ↑	AUC ↑	sAUC ↑		
Human	0.403	0.803	8.110	0.336	0.830	2.328	0.879	0.797		
SaltiNet	0.106	0.750	10.749	0.117	-0.014	-0.021	0.506	0.502		
PathGAN	0.151	0.733	9.407	0.079	0.134	0.280	0.558	0.503		
IOR-ROI	0.209	0.795	8.883	0.176	0.342	0.743	0.708	0.571		
ChemLSTM	0.350	0.808	7.881	0.283	0.629	1.727	0.806	0.702		
Gazeformer	0.357	0.811	7.962	0.287	0.550	1.512	0.760	0.670		
GazeXplain	0.386	0.817	7.489	0.308	0.662	1.851	0.808	0.719		

### Qualitative Examples

**Question:** What fruits are on the dessert on the left side of the photo?

#### Answer: Blackberries

#### **Ground Truth**



#### Gazeformer



#### GazeXplain



1: There is a cup of coffee on a saucer.

5: There is a small piece of cake with a blueberry on top.

2: There is a white cup with a spoon and a spoon.

6: There is a plate of food with a fork and knife.

1: There is a cup of coffee on a tray.

6: There is a plate with a piece of cake on it.

## Ablation Study

	Method			Scan	path			CIDEr-			
1. EXP	2. ALN	<b>3.</b> CT	SM ↑	MM ↑	SED ↓	SS ↑	CC↑	NSS ↑	AUC ↑	sAUC ↑	R
			0.337	0.805	8.197	0.274	0.582	1.582	0.794	0.693	61.9
$\checkmark$			0.339	0.805	8.216	0.280	0.614	1.674	0.806	0.706	91.9
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		0.346	0.806	8.250	0.284	0.631	1.733	0.807	0.713	115.1
		$\checkmark$	0.356	0.812	7.834	0.292	0.582	1.597	0.781	0.688	66.7
$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	0.378	0.819	7.693	0.299	0.647	1.797	0.806	0.713	97.3
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	0.386	0.817	7.489	0.308	0.662	1.851	0.808	0.719	123.1

1. Attention-Language Decoder (EXP): These results suggest that by providing explanations for individual fixations, the model gains deeper insights into the underlying visual semantics, thereby refining its predictive capabilities.

## Ablation Study

	Method			Scan	path			CIDEr-			
1. EXP	<b>2.</b> ALN	<b>3.</b> CT	SM ↑	MM ↑	SED ↓	SS ↑	CC↑	NSS ↑	AUC ↑	sAUC ↑	R
			0.337	0.805	8.197	0.274	0.582	1.582	0.794	0.693	61.9
$\checkmark$			0.339	0.805	8.216	0.280	0.614	1.674	0.806	0.706	91.9
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		0.346	0.806	8.250	0.284	0.631	1.733	0.807	0.713	115.1
		$\checkmark$	0.356	0.812	7.834	0.292	0.582	1.597	0.781	0.688	66.7
$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	0.378	0.819	7.693	0.299	0.647	1.797	0.806	0.713	97.3
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	0.386	0.817	7.489	0.308	0.662	1.851	0.808	0.719	123.1

2. Semantic Alignment (ALN): This indicates the importance of semantic coherence in guiding attention prediction models.

## Ablation Study

	Method			Scan	path			CIDEr-			
1. EXP	<b>2. ALN</b>	<b>3.</b> CT	SM ↑	MM ↑	SED ↓	SS ↑	CC↑	NSS ↑	AUC ↑	sAUC ↑	R
			0.337	0.805	8.197	0.274	0.582	1.582	0.794	0.693	61.9
$\checkmark$			0.339	0.805	8.216	0.280	0.614	1.674	0.806	0.706	91.9
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		0.346	0.806	8.250	0.284	0.631	1.733	0.807	0.713	115.1
		$\checkmark$	0.356	0.812	7.834	0.292	0.582	1.597	0.781	0.688	66.7
$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	0.378	0.819	7.693	0.299	0.647	1.797	0.806	0.713	97.3
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	0.386	0.817	7.489	0.308	0.662	1.851	0.808	0.719	123.1

**3.** Cross-Dataset Co-Training (CT): This demonstrates the effectiveness of integrating diverse data sources for robust scanpath prediction and explanation.

## Summary of Contributions

#### 1. Joint Prediction & Explanation of Scanpaths

A novel task that jointly predicts and explains scanpaths, offering a natural interface for understanding the underlying rationales for visual behaviors and reasoning processes to perform tasks.

#### 2. Ground-Truth Explanations

Provides detailed fixation-level explanations across three public eye-tracking datasets, offering detailed insights into the cognitive processes driving gaze behavior.

#### 3. General Model Architecture

Features an attention-based language decoder that predicts both scanpaths and their explanations, bridging the gap between visual patterns and their semantic interpretations.





# **GazeXplain:** Learning to Predict Natural Language Explanations of Visual Scanpaths

**European Conference on Computer Vision ECCV 2024** 



https://github.com/chenxy99/GazeXplain

This work is supported by NSF Grant 2143197.