

# **OTSeg: Multi-prompt Sinkhorn Attention for Zero-Shot Semantic Segmentation**

### Introduction

- Despite the success of CLIP transfer learning for zero-shot semantic segmentation (ZS3), challenges remain in closely aligning text embeddings with pixel embeddings.
- To address this issue, we propose OTSeg, a multimodal attention mechanism aimed at enhancing the effectiveness of matching multiple text prompts with corresponding pixel embeddings.
- Specifically, we propose Multi-Prompt Sinkhorn (MPS) based on the Optimal Transport, and along with its extension, Multi-Prompts Sinkhorn Attention (MPSA) which effectively replaces cross-attention mechanisms within Transformer.



(a) Default Cross-Attention

(b) Multi-Prompts Sinkhorn Attention (MPSA)

## Multi-Prompt Sinkhorn (MPS)

- · Inspired by Sinkhorn algorithm, we propose MPS to allocate M-image pixel embeddings to N-text prompt embeddings.
- The discrete optimal transport plan  $T^*$  tries to maximize the Vision-language (VL) alignment S by minimizing the total cost C.

$$S^* = MPS(S) = \mathcal{M}(T^* \odot S),$$
  
where  $T^* = Sinkhorn\left(\frac{C}{\epsilon}\right), C \coloneqq 1 - S$ 





- The total cost  $\boldsymbol{C}$  is the matrix multiplication of the text embeddings g and the image embeddings f.
- Minimizing the total cost  $\boldsymbol{C}$  corresponds to maximizing the VL alignment **S** to yield the refined VL alignment **S**\*.

$$T^* = \underset{T \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} T_{ij} C_{ij} - \epsilon H(T),$$
  
where  $C_{ij} = 1 - \frac{f_i g_i^{\mathsf{T}}}{||f_i||_2 ||g_i||_2} = 1 - S_{ij}$ 



Kwanyoung Kim<sup>\*1</sup>, Yujin Oh<sup>\*2</sup>, and Jong Chul Ye<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST) <sup>2</sup>Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) and Harvard Medical School





MGH



Methods	VOC 2012			PASCAL Context			COCO-Stuff164K		
	mIoU(U)	) mIoU(S)	hIoU	mIoU(U)	mIoU(S)	hIoU	mIoU(U)	mIoU(S)	hIoU
Inductive setting									
ZegFormer [10]	63.6	86.4	73.3	-	-	-	33.2	36.6	34.8
Zsseg [35]	72.5	83.5	77.6	-	-	-	36.3	39.3	37.8
ZegCLIP [38]	77.8	91.9	84.3	54.6	46.0	49.9	41.4	40.2	40.8
OTSeg	78.1	92.1	84.5	56.7	53.0	54.8	41.4	41.4	41.4
$\mathrm{OTSeg}+$	81.6	93.3	87.1	60.4	55.2	57.7	<b>41.8</b>	41.3	<b>41.5</b>
Transductive settin	g								
Zsseg [35]	78.1	79.2	79.3	-	-	-	43.6	39.6	41.5
MaskCLIP+[37]	88.1	86.1	87.4	66.7	48.1	53.3	54.7	39.6	45.0
FreeSeg [25]	82.6	91.8	86.9	-	-	-	49.1	42.2	45.3
MVP-SEG+ [14]	87.4	89.0	88.0	67.5	48.7	54.0	55.8	39.9	45.5
ZegCLIP [38]	89.9	92.3	91.1	68.5	46.8	55.6	59.9	40.7	48.5
OTSeg	94.3	94.2	94.2	66.7	53.4	59.3	60.7	41.8	49.5
$\mathrm{OTSeg}+$	94.3	94.3	94.4	67.0	54.0	59.8	62.6	41.4	<b>49.8</b>
Fully-supervised									
ZegCLIP [38]	90.9	92.4	91.6	78.7	46.5	56.9	63.2	40.7	49.6
OTSeg	94.4	94.0	94.2	78.1	55.2	64.7	64.0	41.8	50.5
OTSeg+	95.0	94.1	94.6	78.4	54.5	65.5	63 2	41 5	50.1







Method	# Parameter (M) $\downarrow$	, GFLOPS $\downarrow$	$\mathrm{FPS}\uparrow$
Zsseg	61.1	1916.7	4.2
ZegFormer	60.3	1829.3	6.8
ZegCLIP	13.8	61.1	25.6
OTSeg	13.8	61.9 <mark>-0.8</mark>	$23.6_{-2.0}$
OTSeg+	13.8	$61.9_{-0.8}$	$22.5_{-3.1}$